

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS:	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date:	Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):	GRACIELA LOPEZ		
JOB TITLE (optional):	TRAINING	WORK LOCATION:	Westlake M

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

1. Was well organized and prepared. (5) 4 3 2 1
2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
3. Translated concepts into practical applications. (3) 4 3 2 1
4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?
EVERYTHING WAS GREAT, AT THIS MOMENT I THINK THERE IS NOTHING ELSE TO DO.

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content (3) 4 3 2 1
 - b. Quality (5) 4 3 2 1
 - c. Organization (5) 4 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness (3) 4 3 2 1
6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. ALL THE MATERIALS ARE FINE

10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time?

11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? (5) 4 3 2 1

13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. (5) 4 3 2 1

15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? (5) 4 3 2 1

16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). (5) 4 3 2 1

17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. (5) 4 3 2 1

18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?
JUST ~~CHA~~ TRANSLATE THE MATERIAL INTO SPANISH.
AND CHANGE SOME EXAMPLES.

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? (5) 4 3 2 1

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS:	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date:
		Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):	STACEY GRISWOLD	
JOB TITLE (optional):	CRA	WORK LOCATION: Westlake BPSH - Choc. Bayou

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

1. Was well organized and prepared. (5) 4 3 2 1
2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
3. Translated concepts into practical applications. (5) 4 3 2 1
4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?
Nothing - very good job; made
it interesting

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content (5) 4 3 2 1
 - b. Quality (5) 4 3 2 1
 - c. Organization (5) 4 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness (5) 4 3 2 1
6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. Very good - great resource → with the "Say" & "Ask" & Activities, Video icons.

10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time? Good. didn't get bogged down and I didn't lose interest at ANY point. The days went by very quickly.

11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? 5 4 3 2 1

13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. 5 4 3 2 1

15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? 5 4 3 2 1

16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). 5 4 3 2 1

17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. 5 4 3 2 1

18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?

(I promise when teaching I will show up well in advance (vs. what I demonstrated) to prep prior to class arrival.

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? 5 4 3 2 1

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS:	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date:	Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):			
JOB TITLE (optional):		WORK LOCATION:	Westlake

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

1. Was well organized and prepared. (5) 4 3 2 1
2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
3. Translated concepts into practical applications. (5) 4 3 2 1
4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?

The tools you gave us were excellent. The balloons and exercises will be very helpful in teaching the class.

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content (5) 4 3 2 1
 - b. Quality (5) 4 3 2 1
 - c. Organization (5) 4 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness (5) 4 3 2 1
6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. Found a few typos, but not a big deal.

10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time?

11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? (5) 4 3 2 1

13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. (5) 4 3 2 1

15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? (5) 4 3 2 1

16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). (5) 4 3 2 1

17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. (5) 4 3 2 1

18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? (5) 4 3 2 1

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS: <i>Neil Carol</i>	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date: Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):	<i>Sherry</i>	
JOB TITLE (optional):	WORK LOCATION:	Westlake

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

1. Was well organized and prepared. (3) 4 3 2 1
2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
3. Translated concepts into practical applications. (3) 4 3 2 1
4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?

In beginning encourage note taking and getting into mindset of thinking how to teach the material.

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content (3) 4 3 2 1
 - b. Quality (5) 4 3 2 1
 - c. Organization (3) 4 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness (3) 4 3 2 1
6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. The trainer Guide was excellent and very well done.

10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time?

11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? 5 4 3 2 1

13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. 3 4 3 2 1

15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? 3 4 3 2 1

16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). 3 4 3 2 1

17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. 3 4 3 2 1

18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?

On first + maybe more on second day get participants to get up in front of the class more to present.
In beginning talk more about how to teach each concept + where the examples fit in

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? 5 4 3 2 1

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS:	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date:
		Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):	Y. Moore-Tyles	
JOB TITLE (optional):	HR CRA	WORK LOCATION: Westlake WL1

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

1. Was well organized and prepared. (5) 4 3 2 1
2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
3. Translated concepts into practical applications. (5) 4 3 2 1
4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?
Best material, however, I was a bit confused a times on what should be taught or what should be absorbed as a class attendee.

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content 5 (4) 3 2 1
 - b. Quality 5 (4) 3 2 1
 - c. Organization 5 (4) 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness 5 (4) 3 2 1
6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. *moving or transitioning to slides per the workbook was confusing, due to "transition" info. being on ~~different~~ different pages.*
10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time? *more time preparing for presentation, which is the essential part of whether or not an individual can become a certified trainer.*
11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? 5 4 3 2 1
13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. 5 4 3 2 1
15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? 5 4 3 2 1
16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). 5 4 3 2 1
17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. 5 4 3 2 1
18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?
Give participants more time to prepare for presentation, due to learning material.

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? 5 4 3 2 1
Good job!

Motivation-Based Interviewing TTT Workshop Appraisal

INSTRUCTORS:	Neal Squyres & Carol Quinn	Date:
		Oct 5 - 7, 2004
PARTICIPANT'S NAME (optional):	Masco Cabrera	
JOB TITLE (optional):		WORK LOCATION: Westlake

THE INSTRUCTORS:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

- 1. Was well organized and prepared. 5 (4) 3 2 1
- 2. Encouraged class participation. (5) 4 3 2 1
- 3. Translated concepts into practical applications. 5 (4) 3 2 1
- 4. In your opinion, what can the instructor do to improve the presentation of the workshop material?

THE COURSE MATERIALS:

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

- 5. Please rate the following workshop materials on:
 - a. Content (5) 4 3 2 1
 - b. Quality (5) 4 3 2 1
 - c. Organization (5) 4 3 2 1
 - d. Overall usefulness 5 (4) 3 2 1
- 6. The workbook will be useful as a reference tool. (5) 4 3 2 1
- 7. The exercises were content appropriate. (5) 4 3 2 1
- 8. The exercises added value to the course. (5) 4 3 2 1

PLEASE TURN THE PAGE. THE APPRAISAL CONTINUES ON THE BACK.

9. Your comments concerning the workbook and other materials. _____

10. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need more time?

11. If the time allocated to complete the course material is inappropriate, which areas need less time?

12. Was the course material too advanced (5), just right (3) or too basic (1)? 5 4 (3) 2 1

13. If the workshop, or any portion of it, was too advanced or too basic, please explain:

It was adequate for the workshop

GENERAL:

5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree

14. The workshop met my needs. 5 (4) 3 2 1

15. The time allocated for the workshop was about right? 5 (4) 3 2 1

16. I was adequately prepared by having the required prerequisites and by completing the precourse assignment (if any). 5 (4) 3 2 1

17. All computer and/or audio-visual equipment was functioning properly. 5 (4) 3 2 1

18. If you were to teach this workshop, how would you improve it?

5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Adequate 2=Not So Good 1=Poor

19. What is your overall appraisal of the workshop? (5) 4 3 2 1